



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/817,826	03/26/2001	Sheila D. Fox-Lovell	69061.2	7866

23573 7590 06/20/2003
HOLLAND & KNIGHT, LLP
ONE EAST BROWARD BLVD.
SUITE 1300
FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301

EXAMINER

CARTER, MONICA SMITH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3722

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/817,826	FOX-LOVELL, SHEILA D.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Monica S. Carter	3722

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March 2003.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 2-11 and 15 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 2-11 and 15 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 26 March 2003 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on March 26, 2003. These drawings are approved.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2, the recitation "...text associated said..." appears to be missing the word "with". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson ('090) in view of Blank (5,531,482).

Jackson discloses a log book (10) comprising a first substrate (12) locatable at a first location (the substrate is located in a spiral bound notebook); a plurality of labels (i.e., 14A) detachably adhered to the first substrate, each of the labels having one of a series of first indicia (14AA) identifying the position of each of the labels in an uninterrupted sequence, each of the labels further having at least one field (14AB)

within which information is to be entered in writing, the first location being a location sufficiently accessible to a customer to permit the customer to view the labels and enter information in the field while the label remains detachably adhered to the first substrate (as seen in col. 5, lines 8-15).

Jackson discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly disclosing a log having at least one second substrate having a plurality of label-retaining spaces.

Blank discloses a registration form/log (26) having a registration label receiving field (28) for receiving a label (18) printed with registration indicia (19). The label receiving field is printed with instructions for receiving the label (as seen in figure 2). Blank further discloses providing additional registration forms comprising registration label receiving fields and information fields (see col. 6, lines 11-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jackson's invention to include registration logs having label a retaining spaces, as taught by Blank, to provide the user of the log book with a remote location for retaining information recorded on the labels.

Jackson, as modified by Blank, discloses the claimed invention except for the label retaining spaces having a series of second indicia corresponding to the first indicia, a designated area for a user to enter a date and the second indicia comprising at least one of a numeral and a letter of the alphabet (claims 2, 3, 6 and 10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide any additional desired indicia in the label retaining spaces since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to

be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. *In re Gulack*, 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of registration log does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Thus, there is no novel and unobvious functional relationship between the printed matter and the substrate which is required for patentability.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, see the above rejections.

Regarding claim 7, Jackson, as modified by Blank, discloses the second substrate including a first surface and a mutually opposed second surface and the plurality of labels being adhered only to the first surface (as seen in Blank, figure 2).

Regarding claim 8, Jackson, as modified by Blank, discloses the claimed invention except for second substrate having label-retaining spaces on both surfaces of the second substrate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide label-retaining spaces on both surfaces of the second substrate, since it has been held that mere duplication of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claim 9, Jackson, as modified by Blank disclose the first indicia comprising at least one of a numeral and a letter of the alphabet (as seen in Jackson- "A1").

Regarding claim 11, Jackson, as modified by Blank, discloses the log book comprising a spiral bound book (as seen in figure 1 of Jackson).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-11 and 15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references disclose registration forms.

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica S. Carter whose telephone number is (703) 305-0305. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 AM - 5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea L. Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.


MONICA CARTER
PATENT EXAMINER

June 16, 2003